



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/883,779

06/18/2001

Daniel T. Johnson

80769-359862

2427

25764 7590 08/29/2008

FAEGRE & BENSON LLP  
PATENT DOCKETING  
2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER  
90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901

EXAMINER

POINVIL, FRANTZY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3692

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/29/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/883,779	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JOHNSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Frantzy Poinvil	<b>Art Unit</b> 3692	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Frantzy Poinvil. (3) Jason Krauss.

(2) Sean Solberg. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 49-51, 53-58 and 60-78.

Identification of prior art discussed: Melby et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicants' representatives have provided a detailed description of their invention and argued that Melby et al failed to teach a defined limited access level. The Examiner suggests applicant to incorporate the discussed language of a defined limited access level into the independent claims to overcome Melby et al.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Frantzy Poinvil/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692	
--	--